arXiv:1511.06905v1 [cs.DS] 21 Nov 2015

A Simple Algorithm For Replacement Paths Problem

Anjeneya Swami Kare

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology
Hyderabad, India
cs14resch01002@iith.ac.in

Abstract. Let $G = (V, E)$ ($|V| = n$ and $|E| = m$) be an undirected graph with positive edge weights. Let $P_G(s,t)$ be a shortest $s-t$ path in G. Let l be the number of edges in $P_G(s,t)$. The *Edge Replacement Path* problem is to compute a shortest $s-t$ path in $G\backslash\{e\}$, for every edge e in $P_G(s,t)$. The *Node Replacement Path* problem is to compute a shortest $s-t$ path in $G\backslash\{v\}$, for every vertex v in $P_G(s,t)$.
In this paper we present an $O(T_{SPT}(G)+m+l^2)$ time and $O(m+l^2)$ space algorithm for both the problems. Where, $T_{SPT}(G)$ is the asymptotic time to compute a single source shortest path tree in G. The proposed algorithm is simple and easy to implement.

Keywords: Replacement Path, Replacement Shortest Path, Edge Replacement Path, Node Replacement Path, Shortest Path

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ ($|V| = n$ and $|E| = m$) be an undirected graph with a weight function $w : E \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ on the edges. Let $P_G(s,t) = \{v_0 = s, v_1, \ldots, v_{l-1}, v_l = t\}$ be a shortest $s-t$ path in G. Let l denote the number of edges in $P_G(s,t)$, also denoted by $|P_G(s,t)|$. The total weight of the path $P_G(s,t)$ is denoted by $d_G(s,t)$, i.e, $d_G(s,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} w(e_i)$, where, e_i is the edge $(v_{i-1}, v_i) \in P_G(s,t)$. A shortest path tree (SPT) of G rooted at s (respectively, t) is denoted by T_s (respectively, T_t).

A *Replacement Shortest Path* (RSP) for the edge e_i (respectively, node v_i) is a shortest $s-t$ path in $G\backslash e_i$ (respectively, $G\backslash v_i$). The *Edge Replacement Path* problem is to compute RSP for all $e_i \in P_G(s,t)$. Similarly, the *Node Replacement Path* problem is to compute RSP for all $v_i \in P_G(s,t)$.

Like in all existing algorithms for RSP problem, our algorithm has two phases:

1. Computing shortest path trees rooted at s and t, T_s and T_t respectively.

2. Computing RSP using T_s and T_t.

For graphs with non-negative edge weights, computing an SPT takes $O(m + n \log n)$ time, using the standard Dijkstra's algorithm [1] with Fibonacci heaps [2]. However, for integer weighted graphs (RAM model) [3], planar graphs [4] and minor-closed graphs [5], $O(m + n)$ time algorithms are known. In this paper, to compute SPTs T_s and T_t (phase 1) we use the existing algorithms. For phase 2, we present an $O(m + l^2)$ time algorithm which is simple and easy to implement.

Motivation for studying replacement paths problem is its relevance in single link (or node) recovery protocols. Other problems which are closely related to replacement paths problem are *Most Vital Edge* problem [6], *Most Vital Node* problem [7] and *Vickrey Pricing* [8]. Often an algorithm for replacement paths problem is used as a subroutine in finding k-simple shortest paths between a pair of nodes.

Edge Replacement Path Problem	
Reference	Time Complexity
Malik et al. [9] (1989)	$O(T_{SPT}(G) + m + n \log n)$
Hershberger and Suri [8] (1997)	$O(T_{SPT}(G) + m + n \log n)$
Nardelli et al. [6] (2001)	$O(T_{SPT}(G) + m\alpha(m, n))$
Jay and Saxena [10] (2013)	$O(T_{SPT}(G) + m + d^2)$
Lee and Lu [11] (2014)	$O(T_{SPT}(G) + m + n)$
This Paper	$O(T_{SPT}(G) + m + l^2)$
Node Replacement Path Problem	
Reference	Time Complexity
Nardelli et al. [7] (2003)	$O(T_{SPT}(G) + m + n \log n)$
Jay and Saxena [10] (2013)	$O(T_{SPT}(G) + m + d^2)$
Lee and Lu [11] (2014)	$O(T_{SPT}(G) + m + n)$
Kare and Saxena [12] (2014)	$O(T_{SPT}(G) + m\alpha(m, n))$
This Paper	$O(T_{SPT}(G) + m + l^2)$

Table 1. Summary of existing algorithms [1]for RSP problem

For the Edge Replacement Path problem Malik et al. [9] and Hershberger and Suri [8] independently gave $O(T_{SPT}(G) + m + n \log n)$ time algorithms. Nardelli et al. [6] gave an $O(T_{SPT}(G) + m\alpha(m, n))$ time algorithm, where α is the inverse Ackermann function.

[1] In the referenced papers, authors ignore the term $T_{SPT}(G)$, as they assume either shortest path trees are given or restriction on the input graph class for which linear time algorithms are known for SPT

For the Node Replacement Path problem Nardelli et al. [7] gave an $O(T_{SPT}(G) + m + n \log n)$ time algorithm. Kare and Saxena [12] gave an $O(T_{SPT}(G) + m\alpha(m, n))$ time algorithm.

Jay and Saxena [10] gave an $O(T_{SPT}(G) + m + d^2)$ algorithm, where d is the diameter of the graph. Their algorithm can be used to solve both the edge and the node replacement path problems. They used linear time algorithms for Range Minima Query (RMQ) [13] and integer sorting in their solution. A total of $2l$ instances, each of RMQ and integer sorting has been used (with size of each instance at most l). Recently, Lee and Lu [11] gave an $O(T_{SPT}(G)+m+n)$ time algorithm. Table 1 summarises the existing algorithms for RSP problem.

In this paper, we present an $O(T_{SPT}(G)+m+l^2)$ time and $O(m+l^2)$ space algorithm. The asymptotic complexity of our algorithm matches that of [10]. However, our solution does not use RMQ and integer sorting. Our algorithm organizes the non-tree edges of the graph in a simple manner. Moreover, an advantage of our algorithm over [10] and [11] is that, in a single iteration both edge and node replacement paths can be obtained, whereas in [10] and [11] the algorithm has to be run independently for the edge and node replacement paths. Note that, linear time algorithm for RMQ [13] and the algorithm in [11] are complex to implement. The simplicity of our algorithm makes it an ideal candidate for the RSP. In particular, for dense graphs and graphs with small diameter ($l \leq \mathit{diameter}(G) = O(\sqrt{m})$) our algorithm is optimal and matches with that of [11]. As observed in [10], graphs in real world data sets have small diameter, which further adds significance to our algorithm.

The contribution of this paper is summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *There is an algorithm for the edge and the node replacement path problems that runs in $O(T_{SPT}(G) + m + l^2)$ time using $O(m + l^2)$ space.*

The rest of the report is organised as follows. In Section 2 we discuss the algorithm for the edge replacement path problem. In Seection 3 we discuss the algorithm for node replacement path problem. We conclude with Section 4.

2 Edge Replacement Paths

We start by computing shortest path trees T_s and T_t. In the rest of the section we describe the algorithm for computing RSP using T_s and T_t (phase 2).

A potential replacement path for the edge $e_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i)$ can be seen as a concatenation of three paths A, B and C, where, $A = s \rightsquigarrow v_k \in P_G(s,t)$ for some $0 \leq k < i$, $B = v_k \rightsquigarrow v_r \in G \backslash E(P_G(s,t))$ for some $i \leq r \leq l$ and $C = v_r \rightsquigarrow t \in P_G(s,t)$ as shown in Fig. 1. Here, the symbol $\rightsquigarrow$ represents a path in G. One extreme case is when $|A| = 0$ and $|C| = 0$ (i. e. $v_k = s$ and $v_r = t$) as shown in Fig. 1(b). Such a replacement path is also a potential replacement path for all the edges $e_i \in P_G(s,t)$. The other extreme case is when $|A| = i - 1$ and $|C| = l - i$ (i.e. $v_k = v_{i-1}$ and $v_r = v_i$) as shown in Fig. 1(c). Such a replacement path is a potential replacement path only for the edge e_i.



Fig. 1. Potential replacement paths for the edge e_i. The zig-zag lines represent a path

Consider the shortest path tree rooted at s (T_s). When the edge $e_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i)$ is removed from T_s, T_s is disconnected into two sub-trees. $T_1(e_i)$ (sub-tree rooted at s) and $T_2(e_i)$ (sub-tree rooted at v_i). The vertex sets of $T_1(e_i)$ and $T_2(e_i)$ determine a cut in the graph G. Let $C(e_i)$ denote the set of all non-tree edges crossing the cut. These edges are called crossing edges, i.e, $C(e_i) = \{(x,y) \in E \backslash e_i | x \in T_1(e_i) \wedge y \in T_2(e_i)\}$. In order to have a replacement path, the set $C(e_i)$ needs to be nonempty. And, any replacement path must use at least one crossing edge from $C(e_i)$. Moreover, as we see from the Lemmas 2 and 3 there exists an RSP that uses exactly one crossing edge.

Lemma 2 *For all* $(x, y) \in C(e_i)$, $d_{G-e_i}(s, x) = d_G(s, x)$ *and* $d_{G-e_i}(y, t) = d_G(y, t)$.

Proof. If $(x, y) \in C(e_i)$, then $x \in T_1(e_i)$ and $y \in T_2(e_i)$. Shortest $s - x$ path is fully in $T_1(e_i)$ and does not include the edge e_i. Hence, $d_{G-e_i}(s, x) = d_G(s, x)$.

To prove $d_{G-e_i}(y, t) = d_G(y, t)$, for the sake of contradiction, let us assume that $d_{G-e_i}(y, t) \neq d_G(y, t)$ (i.e $d_{G-e_i}(y, t) > d_G(y, t)$). It means that, $P_G(y, t)$ uses the edge $e_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i)$. This implies $d_G(v_i, y) > d_G(v_{i-1}, y)$. Since $y \in T_2(e_i)$, $d_G(v_{i-1}, y) > d_G(v_i, y)$ a contradiction. Hence, $d_{G-e_i}(y, t) = d_G(y, t)$. □

Lemma 3 *For any edge* $e_i \in P_G(s, t)$, *there exists a shortest* $s - t$ *path in* $G - e_i$ *which contains exactly one edge from* $C(e_i)$.

Proof. Let us consider a shortest $s - t$ path in $G - e_i$ (say P_1) which uses more than one crossing edge from $C(e_i)$. Let (x, y) be the last crossing edge in P_1. Clearly $x \in T_1(e_i)$ and $y \in T_2(e_i)$. By replacing the part of P_1 from s to x, by the $s \rightsquigarrow x$ path in $T_1(e_i)$, we get a new path which is not longer than P_1 and uses exactly one edge from $C(e_i)$. □

Using the Lemmas 2 and 3, we write the total weight of the RSP for the edge e_i as:

$$d_{G-e_i}(s, t) = \min_{(x', y') \in C(e_i)} \{d_G(s, x') + w(x', y') + d_G(y', t)\}. \quad (1)$$

All the terms in the equation (1) are available in constant time for a fixed (x', y') from T_s and T_t. Let (x, y) be the crossing edge that minimizes the RHS of the equation (1). We call that (x, y) the *swap edge*. If we have the swap edge, we can report the RSP as $s \rightsquigarrow x \rightarrow y \rightsquigarrow t$ in constant time. Every non-tree edge can be a potential crossing edge for every edge in $P_G(s, t)$. So, solving equation (1) by brute force gives us $O(ml)$ time algorithm. In this paper we present an $O(m + l^2)$ time algorithm. In the rest of the paper, we concentrate on computing the swap edge for each $e_i \in P_G(s, t)$.

2.1 Labeling the nodes of G

Every vertex of G is labeled with an integer value from 0 to l, with respect to the shortest path tree T_s. The process of labeling is as follows:

Let T_{v_i} be the sub-tree rooted at the node v_i in T_s. All the nodes in the sub-tree T_{v_l} are labeled with the integer value l. For $0 \leq i < l$, all the

nodes in the sub-tree $T_{v_i} \backslash T_{v_{i+1}}$ are labeled with the integer value i. See Fig. 2(a) for an example labeling.

Using pre-order traversal on T_s, we compute the labels of all the vertices in linear time. We start pre-order traversal from the source vertex s using zero as initial label. While visiting the children of a node recursively, the child node part of $P_G(s,t)$ (if any) will be visited last with an incremented label. Let $label(v)$ denote the label of a vertex v in G. The following Lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 4 *A non-tree edge $(x,y) \in C(e_i)$ if and only if $label(x) < i$ and $label(y) \geq i$. In other words, for a non-tree edge (x,y), if $label(x) = i$ and $label(y) = i + r$ for some $r > 0$, then $(x,y) \in C(e_j)$, $\forall (i < j \leq i + r)$.*

2.2 Computing Swap Edges

We construct a directed acyclic graph which will aid us in computing the swap edges. We call this DAG as RSP-DAG, denoted by $\widehat{G}$. The following algorithm explains the construction of the RSP-DAG. An example RSP-DAG is shown in Fig. 2(b).

Algorithm 1 Algorithm to construct the RSP-DAG $\widehat{G} = (\widehat{V}, \widehat{E})$.

```
    {Adding Nodes. Each node is identified by an ordered pair (i, j)}
 1: V̂ = ∅
 2: Ê = ∅
 3: for i = 0 to l − 1 do
 4:     for j = i + 1 to l do
 5:         V̂ = V̂ ∪ (i, j)
 6:     end for
 7: end for
    {Adding Edges}
 8: for each û = (i, j) ∈ V̂ do
 9:     if j − i > 1 then
10:         Ê = Ê ∪ ((i, j), (i, j − 1))
11:         Ê = Ê ∪ ((i, j), (i + 1, j))
12:     end if
13: end for
```

Clearly, the number of vertices in the RSP-DAG is $O(l^2)$ and the number of edges is also $O(l^2)$. Every node has in-degree and out-degree at most two. The node with identifier $(0, l)$ has zero in-degree. Nodes $(i, i+1), \forall (0 \leq i < l)$ have zero out-degree (sink nodes).



Fig. 2. (a)An SPT rooted at s. Solid lines are part of the SPT. Dashed lines represent the non-tree edges (we omit the edge weights). Number inside the vertex circle denotes the vertex number, where as the number above the vertex circle represents vertex label. (b)Corresponding RSP-DAG with set of non-tree edges associated with nodes

For each node $\widehat{u} = (i, j) \in \widehat{V}$, we associate a set $E_{(i,j)}$ of crossing edges. This set includes all the non-tree edges (x, y) such that $label(x) = i$ and $label(y) = j$. This association of crossing edges partitions the crossing edges into disjoint sets.

Lemma 5 *If the swap edge (x, y) for the tree edge $e_i \in P_G(s, t)$ is present in the edge set ($E_{(j,k)}$) of a node $\widehat{u} = (j, k) \in \widehat{V}$, then there exists a directed path from the node $\widehat{u}$ to the node $\widehat{w} = (i - 1, i) \in \widehat{V}$ in the RSP-DAG.*

Proof. Clearly $j \leq i - 1$ and $k \geq i$, otherwise, (x, y) will not be the crossing edge for e_i. If $\widehat{u}$ is a sink node ($\widehat{u} = \widehat{w}$) in the RSP-DAG, then the theorem is trivially true.

Otherwise, if we observe the way edges are added in the RSP-DAG, for the node $\widehat{u} = (j, k) \in \widehat{V}$, two directed edges $((j, k), (j, k - 1))$ and $((j, k), (j + 1, k))$ are added and from these nodes, we keep adding edges to the lower level nodes in the RSP-DAG. We will eventually connect to the leaf node $\widehat{w} = (i - 1, i) \in \widehat{V}$. Hence there is a directed path from $\widehat{u}$ to $\widehat{w}$. □

Now we make a BFS traversal on the RSP-DAG starting from the node with identifier $(0, l)$. During the traversal, at every node, the minimum cost non-tree edge (x, y) (cost being $d(s, x) + w(x, y) + d(y, t)$) from the corresponding edge set is inserted into the edge sets of its two children.

By the end of this process, minimum cost non-tree edges in the respective sink nodes give us the swap edges.

Theorem 6 *There is an algorithm for the Edge Replacement Path problem that runs in $O(T_{SPT}(G) + m + l^2)$ time using $O(m + l^2)$ space.*

Proof. $T_{SPT}(G)$ represents the time to compute SPTs T_s and T_t. Construction of the RSP-DAG takes $O(m + l^2)$ time and $O(m + l^2)$ space. BFS traversal on the RSP-DAG takes $O(l^2)$ time. During the traversal at each node $(i, j) \in \widehat{V}$, we extract the minimum cost non-tree edge from the set of size at most $|E_{(i,j)}| + 2$. Time complexity of overall edge extraction steps is: $\sum_{i<j} |E_{(i,j)}| + 2 = O(m + l^2)$. Therefore the total time complexity is $O(T_{SPT}(G) + m + l^2)$. Space complexity is $O(m + l^2)$ which is the space to store the RSP-DAG. □

Using the linear time algorithms for SPT, for integer weighted graphs, minor closed graphs our algorithm takes $O(m + l^2)$ time.

3 Node Replacement Paths

When the node $v_i \in P_G(s, t)$ is removed, the SPT T_s is partitioned as: $T_1(v_i)$ (sub-tree rooted at s), $T_2(v_i)$ (sub-tree rooted at v_{i+1}) and $F(v_i)$ (the remaining forest $T_s \backslash \{T_1(v_i) \cup T_2(v_i) \cup v_i\}$). The crossing edges are denoted as:

$$C'(v_i) = \{(x, y) \in E | x \in T_1(v_i) \wedge y \in T_2(v_i)\} \tag{2}$$

$$C''(v_i) = \{(x, y) \in E \backslash (v_i, v_{i+1}) | x \in F(v_i) \wedge y \in T_2(v_i)\} \tag{3}$$

$$C(v_i) = C'(v_i) \cup C''(v_i) \tag{4}$$

Lemma 7 *For all $x \in T_1(v_i)$, $d_{G-v_i}(s, x) = d_G(s, x)$, and for all $y \in T_2(v_i)$, $d_{G-v_i}(y, t) = d_G(y, t)$.*

Proof. We omit the proof as the proof is similar to lemma 2 □

Using Lemma 7, the length of the RSP is written as:

$$d'_{G-v_i}(s, t) = \min_{(x,y) \in C'(v_i)} \{d_G(s, x) + w(x, y) + d_G(y, t)\} \tag{5}$$

$$d''_{G-v_i}(s, t) = \min_{(x,y) \in C''(v_i)} \{d_{G-v_i-T_2(v_i)}(s, x) + w(x, y) + d_G(y, t)\} \tag{6}$$

$$d_{G-v_i}(s, t) = \min\{d'_{G-v_i}(s, t), d''_{G-v_i}(s, t)\} \tag{7}$$

Having T_s and T_t, all the terms in the equations (5) and (6) are available in constant time, except the distance $d_{G-v_i-T_2(v_i)}(s,x)$ for $x \in F(v_i)$ (partial shortest path distance). We need all the partial shortest path distances $d_{G-v_i-T_2(v_i)}(s,x)$, $\forall v_i \in P_G(s,t)$ and $\forall x \in F(v_i)$.

To compute all the partial shortest path distances, we use the technique used in [10] and [11].

Let G_i (corresponding to the vertex v_i) be the graph constructed from G as follows: The vertex set of G_i, $V(G_i)$, consists of the source vertex s and the vertices which are part of the forest $F(v_i)$. The edge set of G_i, $E(G_i)$, consists of the following edges:

- Edges between the nodes within the forest $F(v_i)$. These edges will get the same edge weight as in G.
- For every $v \in F(v_i)$, an edge (s,v) is added whenever there is at least one edge from $T_1(v_i)$ to v. The weight of this edge is calculated as follows:

$$\widetilde{w}(s,v) = \min_{(u,v)\in E(T_1(v_i),v))} \{d_G(s,u) + w(u,v)\} \tag{8}$$

That is,

$$V(G_i) = \{V(F(v_i))\} \cup \{s\} \tag{9}$$

$$E(G_i) = \{E(F(v_i), F(v_i))\} \cup \{(s,v) | (v \in F(v_i) \wedge E(T_1(v_i), v) \neq \emptyset)\} \tag{10}$$

G_i is a graph minor of G, since it can be obtained by edge contraction. Hence, SPT, $T_i(s)$ of G_i, rooted at s can be constructed in $T_{SPT}(G_i)$ time. Moreover, $d_{G-v_i-T_2(v_i)}(s,x) = d_{G_i}(s,x)$ for any $x \in F(v_i)$. As $F(v_i) \cap F(v_j) = \emptyset$, for any $i \neq j$, $V(G_i) \cap V(G_j) = \{s\}$. Construction of G_i and $T_i(s)$ for all i takes a total time of $O(\sum_{i=1}^{l-1}(T_{SPT}(G_i)) = O(T_{SPT}(G))$. $d_{G-v_i-T_2(v_i)}(s,x)$ for any $x \in F(v_i)$ is available in constant time from $T_i(s)$ of G_i.

Instead of computing $l-1$ SPTs, $T_i(s)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq l-1$, we compute one graph, $\widetilde{G} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} G_i$, where G_i is constructed as explained earlier. $\widetilde{G}$ can be constructed from G in $O(m+n)$ time. Single source shortest path tree rooted at s, $\widetilde{T}_s$ of $\widetilde{G}$ is computed in $O(T_{SPT}(\widetilde{G})) = O(T_{SPT}(G))$ time. $d_{G-v_i-T_2(v_i)}(s,x)$ for any $x \in F(v_i)$ is available in constant time from $\widetilde{T}_s$ of $\widetilde{G}$. Moreover, as $C''(v_i) \cap C''(v_j) = \emptyset, \forall (i \neq j)$, the distances $d''_{G-v_i}(s,t)$ for all v_i are available in linear time.

To compute $d'_{G-v_i}(s,t)$ for all v_i, we use the RSP-DAG. We use the vertex labeling on T_s (as computed in Section 2.1), for a non-tree edge (x,y), $(x,y) \in C'(v_i)$ if and only if $label(x) < i$ and $label(y) > i$. In other

words, for a non-tree edge (x, y), if $label(x) = i$ and $label(y) = i + r$ for some $r > 1$, then $(x, y) \in C'(v_j)$, for all $i < j < i + r$.

Hence, the crossing edges $C'(v_i)$ will be part of edge sets associated with the vertices $(i, i+r), r > 1$ in the RSP-DAG. After the BFS traversal on the RSP-DAG, the minimum cost crossing edge (over $C'(v_i)$) for v_i is available in the edge set of the node $(i-1, i+1)$ in the RSP-DAG. We do not need to perform the BFS traversal on the RSP-DAG again, because, the data populated during the BFS traversal for the edge replacement paths suffices.

If we have the swap edge (x, y) for the vertex v_i, we can report the RSP in constant time as $s \rightsquigarrow x \rightarrow y \rightsquigarrow t$. Here $s \rightsquigarrow x$ is available from T_s if $(x, y) \in C'(v_i)$. It is constructed from SPTs T_s and $\widetilde{T_s}$ if $(x, y) \in C''(v_i)$.

Theorem 8 *There is an algorithm for the Node Replacement Path problem that runs in $O(T_{SPT}(G) + m + l^2)$ time using $O(m + l^2)$ space.*

Proof. $T_{SPT}(G)$ represents the time to compute SPTs T_s and T_t. Computing the distances $d''_{G-v_i}(s, t)$ for all v_i takes $O(T_{SPT}(G)+m+n)$ time. Computing $d'_{G-v_i}(s, t)$ for all v_i using the RSP-DAG takes $O(m+l^2)$ time and $O(m+l^2)$ space. Therefore the total time complexity is $O(T_{SPT}(G)+ m + l^2)$. Space complexity is $O(m + l^2)$ which is the space necessary to store the RSP-DAG. □

Using the linear time algorithms for SPT, for integer weighted graphs, minor closed graphs our algorithm takes $O(m + l^2)$ time.

Proof of Theorem 1 is implied from theorems 6 and 8.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we propose an $O(T_{SPT}(G)+m+l^2)$ time and $O(m+l^2)$ space algorithm for the Replacement Paths problem. The asymptotic complexity of our algorithm matches with that of Jay and Saxena [10]. However, our algorithm does not require external algorithms RMQ and integer sorting and it is easy to implement. An advantage of our algorithm over [10] and [11] is that, in a single iteration both the edge and node replacement paths can be computed, whereas in [10] and [11] the algorithm has to be run independently for the edge and node replacement paths. For dense graphs and graphs with small diameter our algorithm is optimal and matches that of [11].

References

1. Dijkstra, E.W.: A note on two problems in connection with graphs. Numerische Mathematik **1** (1959) 269–271
2. Fredman, M.L., Tarjan, R.E.: Fibonacci heaps and their uses in improved network optimization algorithms. Journal of the ACM **34**(3) (1987) 596–615
3. Thorup, M.: Floats, integers, and single source shortest paths. Journal of Algorithms **35**(2) (2000) 189–201
4. Henzinger, M.R., Klein, P., Rao, S., Sairam: Faster shortest-path algorithms for planar graphs. Journal of Computer and System Sciences **55**(1) (1997) 3–23
5. Tazari, S., Muller-Hannemann, M.: Shortest paths in linear time on minor-closed graph classes, with an application to steiner tree approximation. Discrete Applied Mathematics **157**(4) (2009) 673–684
6. Nardelli, E., Proietti, G., Widmayer, P.: A faster computation of the most vital edge of a shortest path. Information Processing Letters **79**(2) (2001) 81–85
7. Nardelli, E., Proietti, G., Widmayer, P.: Finding the most vital node of a shortest path. Theoretical Computer Science **296**(1) (2003) 167–177
8. Hershberger, J., Suri, S.: Vickrey prices and shortest paths: What is an edge worth? In: Proceedings of the 42nd IEEE symposium on Foundations of Computer Science. (2001) 252–259
9. Malik, K., Mittal, A.K., Gupta, S.K.: The k most vital arcs in the shortest path problem. Operations Research Letters **8** (1989) 223–227
10. Mahadeokar, J., Saxena, S.: Faster replacement paths algorithms in case of edge or node failure for undirected, positive integer weighted graphs. Journal of Discrete Algorithms **23** (2013) 54–62
11. Lee, C., Lu, H.: Replacement paths via row minima of concise matrices. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics **2**(1) (2014) 206–225
12. Kare, A.S., Saxena, S.: Efficient solutions for finding vitality with respect to shortest paths. In: 6th IEEE International Conference on Contemporary Computing (IC3). (2013) 70–75
13. Berkman, O., Schieber, B., Vishkin, U.: Optimal doubly logarithmic parallel algorithms based on finding all nearest smaller values. Journal of Algorithms **14**(3) (1993) 344–370